AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT					1. CONTRACT ID CODE		F PAGES	
		·		J		1	15	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. W16ROE-4302-4878	REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.			5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)		
0004	21-Dec-2004	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) CODE E3K0000 PLANNING DIVISION USACOE-NYD 26 FEDERAL PLAZA CENAN-PL NEW YORK NY 10278-0090						
6. ISSUED BY CODE USA ENGINEER DISTRICT, NEW YORK ATTN:CENAN-CT ROOM 1843 26 FEDERAL PLAZA NEW YORK NY 10278	W912DS							
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR	(No., Street, County,	State and Zip Code)	X 9	A. AMENDMI V912DS-05-R-	ENT OF SO 0001	LICITATI	ON NO.	
				B. DATED (SI 8-Nov-2004	B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) B-Nov-2004			
				0A. MOD. OF	CONTRAC	T/ORDER	NO.	
			1	0B. DATED (SEE ITEM	13)		
CODE	FACILITY COD	PLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLI	CITA	TIONS				
X The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth		<u>-</u>		extended,	is not exten	ded		
Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment pr (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a r RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR TI REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this ar provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the	copies of the amendmer eference to the solicitatio HE RECEIPT OF OFFERS nendment you desire to cha	nt; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendm n and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOU S PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFI ange an offer already submitted, such change ma	ent on JR AC ED M y be m	each copy of the o KNOWLEDGME AY RESULT IN ade by telegram or	offer submitted NT TO BE	;		
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DA	ATA (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM	APPLIES ONLY TO) MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS	/ORI	DERS.				
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.								
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/Office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FOR C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS	ΓΗ IN ITEM 14, PUI	RSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF F			ch as change	es in payin	g	
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and	authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not,	is required to sig	n this document and return	copie	es to the issuing	g office.			
 DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) This amendment serves four purposes: to incorporate questions submitted and the Government responses. to incorporate a revised bid schedule to include an additional option line item 0015 for an emergency generator. to incorporate revisions to section 00800 and section 01012. to extend the proposal receipt date to 12 January 2005 at 2:00 PM local time. 								
All other terms and conditions remain the same.								
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect. 15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print) 16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)								
15D CONTRACTOR (CERTIFICA	150 D 1 mp 2123	TEL:		EMAIL:	1	D. 4	IONES	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNE		KICA	L	16C	. DATE S	IGNED	
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contracting Of	ficer)	21	-Dec-200	4	
<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		va 6 are to the total and of	,	,				

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

SECTION SF 30 - BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

The following have been added by full text:

AMENDMENT #0004

Questions received and proposed government responses:

1. Contractor Question:

How many buildings will be demolished, renovated, and new construction under this contract?

1. Government response:

Demolition: Zero (0). Renovation: One (1).

New Construction: Contractor to determine as part of proposal submission.

2. Contractor Question:

- a. Will building demolition be performed by others?
- b. Who will perform selective demolition?
- c. Who will perform hazardous material mitigation?

2. Government response:

- a. Yes
- b. Selective demolition may occur at building 225 and is the responsibility of the contractor. Requirements can be found in RFP Section 01010, Subsection 4.4.4.
- c. Hazardous material mitigation, if required, will occur at building 225 and is the responsibility of the contractor. Requirements can be found in RFP Section 01010, Subsection 4.4.4.

3. Contractor Question:

Will the deliverables format include AutoCad for drawings and Masterspec for specifications?

3. Government response:

Proposal submittal requirements are identified in RFP Section 00110. Design after award submittals are identified in RFP Section 01012.

4. Contractor Question:

What are security clearance requirements and timeframe?

4. Government response:

Security requirements are identified in RFP Section 00800.

5. Contractor Question:

Are security requirements meant to remain in place after an accidental explosion?

5. Government response:

The question was not understood by the government when submitted during the pre-proposal conference. It was suggested that the offeror submit a follow up question, clarifying their concern.

6.Contractor Question:

If bids exceed the target price range will additional funds be available to the government?

6. Government response:

No.

7. Contractor Question:

Is the enclosed walkway around building conditioned requiring heating and cooling?

7. Government response:

Yes.

8. Contractor Question:

- a. Will the government allow the contractor to do a hydrant flow test for sprinkler system if information is not available?
- b. If available, will the government provide?

8. Government response:

- a. Hydrant flow test requirements are located in RFP Section 01010, Subsection 6.5.
- b. Hydrant flow information from a recent test is provided for informational purposes and includes:

Hydrant: H-216

Location: Near building 216

Data:

Static pressure: 79 psi Flow: 1021 GPM at 46 psi

Hydrant: H-231

Location: Near building 231

Data:

Static pressure: 68 psi Flow: 928 GPM at 38 psi

Hydrant: H-256-01

Location: Near building 256

Data:

Static pressure: 66 psi Flow: 928 GPM at 38 psi

Hydrant: H-256-02

Location: Near building 256

Data:

Static pressure: 67 psi Flow: 914 GPM at 37 psi

9. Contractor Question:

Will the government accept a frangible roof as one of the surfaces required to meet the frangibility requirement?

9. Government response:

Frangible surface requirements can be found in RFP Section 01010, Subsection 4.3.11.3, AR 190-11, and DA PAM 385-64.

10. Contractor Question:

Due to blast calculations, if one masonry wall and one concrete roof of a given room has to be changed to metal stud wall construction and metal stud with metal roof construction, is that acceptable?

10. Government response:

Frangible surface requirements can be found in RFP Section 01010, Subsection 4.3.11.3, AR 190-11, and DA PAM 385-64. Wall and roof types identified in the RFP are minimum acceptable and based upon government calculations that formulated the explosive arc distances identified in the Site Safety Plan. There is serious concern that explosive arcs will adversely affect other structures at Picatinny, which is unacceptable, should frangible roofs be permitted in the project area.

11. Contractor Question:

What is the correct length of time for completion? Please clarify. The solicitation sheet 1 of 90, item 11 states 730 days from N.T.P., section 00800, 1.1 states 730 days, and the preliminary project schedule 3.6.3.1. states 540 days.

11. Government response:

Seven Hundred Thirty (730) calendar days from receipt of Notice to Proceed (NTP).

12. Contractor Question:

Specifications section 01010, 2.3 Site Preparatory Requirements states: **a**. "Permission to construct and work in this area (resource protection area, wetlands) shall be obtained by the contractor from the State of New Jersey by the Picatinny Environmental Affairs Office." Please clarify if all wetlands permits are to be obtained by the contractor and the roll of PEAO.

b. This section indicates a 50' buffer is associated with the wetlands. Review of NJDEP available mapping indicates several Category 1 streams in the area. Any wetlands which are connected to a Category 1 stream require a 150' buffer. This applies even if the connection is several miles down stream. The Environmental Assessment (EA) in Attachment D indicates several on-site federally listed endangered species including the bog Turtle which if present imposes a 300' wetland buffer. The EA also indicates that a resource value/buffer has not been determined and we therefore anticipate applying for a NJDEP Letter of Interpretation (LOI). This can be done 2 ways. 1. Delineate the wetlands, apply for the LOI and wait for the permit identifying the associated buffers prior to designing the site improvements. We will then need to go back to NJDEP to apply for any wetland buffer averaging, discharge permits etc. Review periods for each of these phases is 9 - 12 months. 2. Meet with NJDEP to review the project and get a "non-binding" preliminary determination on the buffer. We can then determine if we want to proceed with full site design and apply for the LOI and necessary permits simultaneously. The risk is that the preliminary buffer determination is non-binding and can change. If it does redesign will be necessary.

Since Bog Turtles are known to be in this vicinity, an assessment will be required. This can only be performed from mid April to mid June. Since this will affect project scheduling can we get an approach determination?

12. Government response:

a. All required permits shall be the responsibility of the contractor. The Picatinny Environmental Affairs Office can offer guidance, if requested.

b. Buffer zones will be as indicated in RFP Section 01010, Subsection 2.3.

13. Contractor Question:

The EA indicates per the USACE's Waterways Experiment Station Draft Final

Report (1994) that the site is not located within a 100 yr. or 500 yr. floodplain. Generally, any drainage area greater than 50 acre's has an associated floodplain which will require review and approval by NJDEP. This will require extensive survey and analysis to establish the floodplain limits. Should this be included in our scope?

13. Government response:

Additional survey and analysis concerning establishment of flood plain limits is not required.

14. Contractor Question:

Section 2.10.1, New Storm water Drainage System indicates non-structural measures are highly encouraged. However, the size of the development area

limits the available space for water quality measures. Will a structural device which can be approved by NJDEP be acceptable?

14. Government response:

Yes.

15. Contractor Question:

Please provide equipment cuts, model numbers and manufacturer names and/ or power requirements (voltage and watts) for all equipment located in rooms 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 215, 217, 219, 300, 301, 302

15. Government response:

Equipment information is located in the RFP, Attachment A, Room by Room Requirements, and Section 01010, Part 7 Electrical Design.

16. Contractor Question:

Please provide equipment cuts, model numbers and manufacturer names and/ or heat rejection loads for all equipment located in the processing and machining areas for rooms 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 215, 217, 219, 300, 301, 302

16. Government response:

Equipment information concerning heat rejection loads is not available. Heat rejection loads may be determined based on the electrical requirements for each piece of equipment.

17. Contractor Question:

Given that the Government had delayed the release of this advertised project by approximately 4 weeks we are respectfully requesting that the proposal due date be postponed by 3 weeks to January 24, 2005.

17. Government response:

Whereas there was no delay and given the limited staff during the holiday season, the solicitation end date will be revised to 12 January 2005, 2:00:00 PM EST.

Bid Schedule:

Add option item:

Emergency Generator, (unit of measure) LS, quantity 1, meeting requirements identified in RFP, specifically Section 01010, Subsection 7.8.

RFP Section 00110, Subsection 3.6.3.1

Remove "540" from the second sentence and replace with "730".

RFP Section 00800

Remove paragraph 1.40b and replace with the following:

Design Charette and coordination: Within fourteen (14) calendar days from receipt of Notice to Proceed (NTP), minimally, the contractor shall conduct on-site biweekly design charette and coordination meetings until the start of construction activities. The purpose of these meetings is for the contractor to acquire all necessary information to design the facilities including, though not limited to, the following: Gaining knowledge of end User operations, review existing operations, perform extensive interviews, coordination, and problem solving discussions with the end User concerning mission functions and needs, site visits, Request for Information (RFI) discussions, Request For Proposal (RFP) discrepancies and/or revisions, and conducting extensive interviews with the Picatinny Garrison offices, Utility Privatization contractors, Corps of Engineers staff, and other required persons to successfully complete the design. All meetings will be attended by the contractor, including appropriate members of the contractor's design staff. The contractor will also document each meeting, identifying items discussed, resolved, open items, and/or new issues identified. Contractor documentation would minimally include that within seventy-two (72) hours after each meeting the contractor shall issue the meeting report/documentation via email. In addition, the contractor shall also submit, an agenda indicating topics to be discussed and as well as any questions, via e-mail at least forty-eight (48) hours before each scheduled meeting.

RFP, Section 01012, Design After Award, Subsection 1.3 Stages of Design Submittals

Remove the first paragraph and replace with the following:

Design submittals are required at the preliminary (30%), intermediate (60%), operational permit submission to Department of Defense Explosive Safety Board (DDESB) (65%), and final design (95%) stages. The requirements for each design stage are listed hereinafter. The Contractor shall reflect the number and contents of each design submittal in the progress chart. The 30%, 60%, 65%, 95% complete design submittals shall be made in one package each.

For the 60 % design submission and all subsequent design submissions, the contractor shall clearly identify and disclose all items within the design submission where changes and/or revisions were made beyond what was specifically identified in the review comments from the previous submission. This may be accomplished as a separate section contained within the design analysis or a separate document to be included within the design submittal. The documentation shall include bulleted (numbered) identification of each item followed by brief

explanation/justification for the revision, including identification of locations where such changes and/or revisions appear in the design submittal documents.

The contractor shall electronically submit to the COR, Forty-eight (48) hours prior to each design review meeting, a file containing the Dr. Checks design review comments and the contractor's preliminary responses. In addition, the contractor shall also bring thirty (30) paper copies, to each design review meeting, of the information contained in the electronic file.

The contractor is advised that the 65% design submittal is required for the purpose of securing DDESB support for issuing an operational permit for the completed facilities. DDESB reviews generally take no less than three (3) months though typically not more than six (6) months to complete and received comments. Comments received from the DDESB must be incorporated into the project design and construction, supporting intention of User securing operational permit to operate the completed facilities in support of their mission requirements.

RFP, Section 01012, Design After Award, Subsection 1.3 Stages of Design Submittals

Add the following at the end of Subsection 1.3.2:

1.3.2.1 DDESB Review submittal (65%)

This submittal will be forwarded by Picatinny to the DDESB for their review, comment, and identification of required revisions in support of a final operation permit of the facility for the intended use. There will be no design review meeting associated with this submission. This submission will include resolution of all items identified in the 60% design review meeting identified as being critical to explosive safety:

- 1. Design Analysis, in support of submitted documents
- 2. 65% complete drawings
- 3. Draft specifications on all items of work
- 4. Annotated 60% comments, specifically referencing items revised

Six (6) copies of the complete 65% design submittal will be required and shall be provided to the COR.

REVISED BID SCHEDULE

ITEM NO SUPPLIES/SERVICES QUANTITY UNIT UNIT PRICE AMOUNT

0001 1 Lump Sum

Design/Build of

FFP

EXPLOSIVE RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LOADING FACILITY (ERDLF)

ADMINISTRATION COMPONENT, SITE WORK: ALL SITE WORK, INCLUDING UTILITIES, ASSOCIATED WITH THE DESIGN AND

CONSTRUCTION OF THE ADMINISTRATION COMPONENT, COMPLETE.

PURCHASE REQUEST NUMBER: W16ROE-4302-4878

NET AMT

FOB: Destination

ITEM NO SUPPLIES/SERVICES QUANTITY UNIT UNIT PRICE AMOUNT

0002 1 Lump Sum

Design/Build of

FFP

EXPLOSIVE RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LOADING FACILITY (ERDLF) ADMINISTRATION COMPONENT, BUILDING: ALL WORK ASSOCIATED WITH THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE ADMINISTRATION COMPONENT, COMPLETE.

NET AMT

ITEM NO SUPPLIES/SERVICES QUANTITY UNIT UNIT PRICE AMOUNT 0003 1 Lump Sum

Design/Build of

FFP

EXPLOSIVE RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LOADING FACILITY (ERDLF) EXPLOSIVE PROCESSING AND EXPLOSIVE MACHINING COMPONENTS, SITE WORK: ALL SITE WORK ASSOCIATED WITH THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE EXPLOSIVE PROCESSING AND EXPLOSIVE MACHINING COMPONENTS, COMPLETE.

NET AMT

FOB: Destination

ITEM NO SUPPLIES/SERVICES QUANTITY UNIT UNIT PRICE AMOUNT

0004 1 Lump Sum

Design/Build of

FFP

EXPLOSIVE RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LOADING FACILITY (ERDLF) EXPLOSIVE PROCESSING AND EXPLOSIVE MACHINING COMPONENTS, BUILDINGS: ALL WORK ASSOCIATED WITH THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE EXPLOSIVE PROCESSING AND EXPLOSIVE MACHINING COMPONENT, COMPLETE.

NET AMT

W912DS-05-R-0001 0004 Page 9 of 15

ITEM NO SUPPLIES/SERVICES **QUANTITY** UNIT **UNIT PRICE AMOUNT** 0005 1 Lump Sum

Design/Build of

FFP

EXPLOSIVE RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LOADING FACILITY (ERDLF) EXPLOSIVE STORAGE COMPONENT, SITE WORK: ALL SITE WORK ASSOCIATED WITH THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE EXPLOSIVE STORAGE COMPONENT, COMPLETE.

NET AMT

FOB: Destination

QUANTITY ITEM NO SUPPLIES/SERVICES UNIT **UNIT PRICE AMOUNT** 0006

1 Lump Sum

Design/Build of

FFP

EXPLOSIVE RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LOADING FACILITY (ERDLF)

EXPLOSIVE STORAGE COMPONENT, BUILDING: ALL WORK ASSOCIATED WITH THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE

EXPLOSIVE STORAGE COMPONENT, COMPLETE.

NET AMT

ITEM NO SUPPLIES/SERVICES **QUANTITY** UNIT UNIT PRICE **AMOUNT** 0007 1 Lump Sum

Design/Build of

FFP

ENCLOSED WALKWAY CONNECTING THE EXPLOSIVE RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LOADING FACILITY (ERDLF) COMPONENTS WITH EXISTING BUILDING 225, COMPLETE: ALL WORK ASSOCIATED WITH THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE ENCLOSED WALKWAYS CONNECTING THE EXISTING BUILDING 225 WITH THE ADMINISTRATION FUNCTION, EXPLOSIVE STORAGE MAGAZINE, THE PROCESSING, AND MACHINING (IF EXERCISED)FUNCTIONAL AREAS OF THIS PROJECT, COMPLETE, INCLUDING SITEWORK, FOUNDATIONS, FRAMING, TRANSLUCENT SIDING, ROOFING, LIGHTING, ENTRY & EXIT DOORS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS.

NET AMT

FOB: Destination

ITEM NO SUPPLIES/SERVICES **QUANTITY UNIT UNIT PRICE AMOUNT** 8000 175 Cubic

Yard

Rock Excavation (Estimated Quantity)

IAW SECTION 01010, PARA. 2.6.3,

NET AMT

ITEM NO SUPPLIES/SERVICES QUANTITY UNIT UNIT PRICE AMOUNT 0009 1 Lump Sum

Project Punch List

FFP

This line applies to all punch list items including those items identified at the prefinal and final inspections and is above the normal retainage for this item. Offerors shall include this amount in the bid price "\$50,000.00". This amount shall not be changed. This amount shall be retained by the Government in the event the contractor fails to complete punch list items. This amount is separate from liquidated damages to be applied in the event the contractor fails to complete the work within the time specified in the contract including any extensions. See Section 00800 for additional information. (No partial payments will be provided until final acceptance of this item.

NET AMT

FOB: Destination

ITEM NO SUPPLIES/SERVICES QUANTITY UNIT UNIT PRICE AMOUNT

0010 Lump Sum

OPTION Option 1 FFP

DESIGN/BUILD OF UPGRADE OF EXTERIOR FAÇADE OF BUILDING 225: ALL WORK ASSOCIATED WITH THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE REFINISHING OF THE EXTERIOR OF BUILDING 225 TO BE ARCHITECTURALLY COMPATIBLE WITH OTHER COMPONENTS OF THE PROJECT, COMPLETE (IF EXERCISED), INCLUDING REQUIRED ASBESTOS SURVEY AND ABATEMENT, DEMOLITION AND NEW CONSTRUCTION.

NET AMT

ITEM NO 0011 SUPPLIES/SERVICES

QUANTITY

UNIT PRICE

AMOUNT

OPTION

Option 2 FFP

DESIGN/BUILD OF EXPLOSIVE RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LOADING FACILITY (ERDLF) EXPLOSIVE MACHINING COMPONENT, SITE WORK: ALL SITE WORK ASSOCIATED WITH THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE EXPLOSIVE MACHINING COMPONENTS (IF OPTION 3 IS EXERCISED), COMPLETE.

NET AMT

FOB: Destination

ITEM NO

SUPPLIES/SERVICES

QUANTITY

UNIT Lump Sum

UNIT

Lump Sum

UNIT PRICE

AMOUNT

0012 OPTION

Option 3

FFP

DESIGN/BUILD OF EXPLOSIVE RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LOADING FACILITY (ERDLF) MACHINING COMPONENTS, BUILDING: ALL WORK ASSOCIATED WITH THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE EXPLOSIVE MACHINING COMPONENT, COMPLETE.

NET AMT

ITEM NO 0013

SUPPLIES/SERVICES

QUANTITY 1

UNIT Lump Sum UNIT PRICE

AMOUNT

OPTION

Option 4 **FFP**

DESIGN/BUILD OF EXPLOSIVE RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LOADING FACILITY (ERDLF) FIRE WATER STORAGE TANK, SITE WORK: ALL SITE WORK ASSOCIATED WITH THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF A 50,000 GAL FIRE WATER STORAGE TANK (IF EXCERSISED),

COMPLETE.

NET AMT

FOB: Destination

ITEM NO 0014

SUPPLIES/SERVICES

QUANTITY 1

UNIT Lump Sum **UNIT PRICE**

AMOUNT

OPTION

Option 5

FFP

DESIGN/BUILD OF EXPLOSIVE RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT LOADING FACILITY (ERDLF) FIRE WATER STORAGE TANK, CONSTRUCTION: ALL CONSTRUCTION, MATERIALS, EQUIPMENT, CALCULATIONS, TESTING, UTILITY CONNECTIONS AND RELATED WORK ASSOCIATED WITH THE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF A 50,000 GAL FIRE WATER STORAGE TANK SYSTEM (IF EXCERSISED), COMPLETE.

NET AMT

FOB: Destination

ITEM NO 0015

SUPPLIES/SERVICES

OUANTITY 1

UNIT Lump Sum UNIT PRICE

AMOUNT

OPTION

Option 6

Emergency Generator, meeting requirements identified in RFP, specifically

Section 01010, Subsection 7.8.

NET AMT

TOTAL BASE BID (LINE ITEMS 0001)	0008)
	\$
TOTAL PUNCHLIST (LINE ITEM 0009)	(\$50,000.00)
TOTAL OPTIONS (LINE ITEMS 0010 –	0015)
	\$
TOTAL BASE BID PLUS OPTIONS (LINE ITEMS 0001 – 00015)	
	\$

Notes:

- 1. The basis for award is Base Plus options.
- 2. Options may be exercised within 180 calendar days after award of the contract.

SECTION 00010 -	SOI ICITATION	CONTRACT FORM
3EC 11018 00010 =	SULLI ALIUN	LUNIKALI FURIV

The required response date/time has changed from 04-Jan-2005 02:00 PM to 12-Jan-2005 02:00 PM.

CLIN 0009

This CLIN has been renumbered to CLIN 0010. The pricing detail quantity 1.00 has been added.

CLIN 0010

This CLIN has been renumbered to CLIN 0011. The pricing detail quantity 1.00 has been added.

CLIN 0011

This CLIN has been renumbered to CLIN 0012. The pricing detail quantity 1.00 has been added.

CLIN 0012

This CLIN has been renumbered to CLIN 0013.

CLIN 0013

This CLIN has been renumbered to CLIN 0014.

CLIN 0014

This CLIN has been renumbered to CLIN 0009.

CLIN 0015 is added as follows:

Section 01010, Subsection 7.8.

ITEM NO 0015	SUPPLIES/SERVICES	QUANTITY 1	UNIT Lump Sum	UNIT PRICE	AMOUNT
OPTION	Option 6				
	FFP				
	Emergency Generator, meeting requirements identified in RFP, specifically				

NET AMT

FOB: Destination

(End of Summary of Changes)